Original Article



An Exploration of Critical Success Factors for Effective

Teamwork Productivity: The Case of the Instituto

Técnico de Saúde 3119 Kalawenda

Uma exploração dos factores críticos de sucesso para a produtividade efectiva do trabalho em equipa. Caso do Instituto Técnico de Saúde 3119 Kalawenda

Una exploración de los factores críticos de éxito para una productividad efectiva del trabajo en equipo. Caso del Instituto Técnico de Salud 3119 Kalawenda

Guilhermina Argentino¹ https://orcid.org/0009-0001-5257-399X ¹Will Real Real Estate, Luanda, Angola.

Received: December 10, 2024 **Accepted:** December 27, 2024

ABSTRACT

In the current context, organizations work in teams with the main objective of improving productivity, dealing with crises and implementing innovations to maintain success in the market. Therefore, this article identified the critical success factors (CSFs), namely effective communication, leadership, culture of trust and collaboration, and performance evaluation that condition the effective productivity of teamwork. Taking ITSK as a case study, and using qualitative and quantitative approaches with the purpose of triangulating the data, the results show that only two of the four CSFs are practiced in teamwork at the institute, putting at risk the effective productivity of teamwork at the Institute.

Keywords: Effectiveness and Efficiency, Productivity, Teamwork.



RESUMO

No contexto actual as organizações trabalham em equipas com objectivo principal de melhorar a produtividade, lidar com crises e implementar inovações para manter o sucesso no mercado. Portanto este artigo identificou os factores críticos de sucesso (FCS) nomeadamente comunicação efectiva, liderança, cultura de confiança e colaboração, e avaliação de desempenho que condicionam a produtividade efectiva do trabalho em equipa. Tendo o ITSK como caso de estudo, e recorrendo as abordagens qualitativa e quantitativa com o propósito de triangular os dados, os resultados mostram que apenas dois dos quatro FCS são praticados no trabalho em equipa no instituto colocando em causa a produtividade efectiva do trabalho em equipa no Instituto.

Palavras-Chaves: Eficácia e Eficiência, Produtividade, Trabalho em equipa.

RESUMEN

En el contexto actual, las organizaciones trabajan en equipos con el objetivo principal de mejorar la productividad, enfrentar las crisis e implementar innovaciones para mantener el éxito en el mercado. Por ello, este artículo identifica los factores críticos de éxito (FCE), a saber, la comunicación efectiva, el liderazgo, la cultura de confianza y colaboración y la evaluación del desempeño que condicionan la productividad efectiva del trabajo en equipo. Tomando el ITSK como caso de estudio, y utilizando enfoques cualitativos y cuantitativos con el propósito de triangular los datos, los resultados muestran que sólo dos de los cuatro FCS se practican en trabajo en equipo en el instituto, poniendo en duda la productividad efectiva del trabajo en equipo en el Instituto.

Palabras clave: Eficacia y Eficiencia, Productividad, Trabajo en equipo.

INTRODUCTION

The vast literature on teamwork and effective productivity indicates the relevance of the subject to organizational life. Teams are a current demand of organizations to structure work, increase productivity, creativity and knowledge sharing.

Ayeni and Fakunle (2020) conducted a study on the topic in Ondó State, Nigeria, seeking to understand teamwork management and teacher productivity in secondary schools, which served as support for this research. The study revealed that teamwork management increases teacher productivity, however, the lack of qualified teachers makes it difficult to group teams by specialization and emphasize instructional strategy.

The behavior of work teams is directly related to organizational results, as organizational success depends on the ability of teams to collaborate and work efficiently to solve



complex problems. Leaders are important figures in organizational decision-making. However, they need to identify internal and external factors of effective productivity.

The evolution of teamwork and productivity over time reflects not only changes in management practices and technology, but also social, economic and cultural transformations.

Furthermore, a well-defined strategy is one that involves employees and aligns with the organization's objectives, as performance provides quality in the actions carried out in a company to achieve the fulfillment of its functions.

In order for turnover and absenteeism levels to be lower in the organization, employees must be satisfied in the performance of their role, since employees with greater commitment achieve greater performance than employees who are not committed.

Nowadays, functional companies work in teams with the main objective of improving, dealing with crises and implementing innovations to keep the company current in the market.

Organizations can therefore invest in effective communication strategies and technologies to increase productivity levels in the workplace (Pertiangma, 2023)

The issue of effectiveness and efficiency in organizations is widely discussed. In the case of this study, the concern is to identify the lack of effective productivity in teamwork and how critical success factors increase productivity in teams in the case of the Technical Institute of Health 3119 Kalawenda.

When institutions observe endogenous and exogenous factors such as salary dissatisfaction, inefficient communication, lack of feedback, process inconsistency, lack of training, lack of innovative ideas and adequate technological support, this inhibits employee engagement and harms work productivity in terms of efficiency and effectiveness. Consequently, there will be a negative impact on the organization's performance, so the above-mentioned factors must be overcome in order to use strategies to achieve team productivity.

Based on the above, the following research question arises: How do critical success factors increase the effective productivity of teamwork?

The general objective of this work is to understand how critical success factors increase the effective productivity of teamwork in an organization. Specifically, the aim is to explore in the literature the critical success factors that provide an increase in the effective productivity of teamwork; to describe the critical success factors identified in the literature, elucidating their role in providing effective productivity in teamwork; and to verify the existence and practice of these critical success factors in the institution under study to increase the effective productivity of teamwork.



Therefore, it is important to explore the critical success factors for effective teamwork productivity in order to help organizations overcome weaknesses and contribute to achieving their objectives, supporting the team in performing their functions and responsibilities and streamlining tasks.

In this direction, it is clear that the use of critical success factors is essential to provide an increase in effective productivity when it is applied effectively and efficiently within an organization because it helps to promote collaboration, in addition to improving the quality of services provided, it implements innovation through the creation of ideas, and leadership makes assertive decisions.

Literature review

Liboreiro and Borges understand that teams are, "a current demand of organizations to structure work, increase productivity, creativity and share knowledge" (Santo et al. 2014 cited by Liboreiro & Borges, 2018, p. 6). Therefore, increasing productivity is one of the objectives of teamwork.

However, Ayeni and Fakunle (2018, p. 50) argue that productivity in an organization is "the ability to achieve objectives, fulfill activities, achieve goals (effectiveness) and perform tasks faster so that the organization obtains the desired result without wasting efforts (efficiency)". Therefore, the ability of an organization or individual to achieve the desired results efficiently and effectively is called effective productivity.

The literature points to the existence of a direct relationship between teamwork and effective productivity. When teams are well structured and managed, they can significantly increase the effective productivity of organizations, promote innovation, improve the quality of decisions and increase job satisfaction (Salas et al., 2015).

Team members improve their skills and knowledge when they work together, and their performance improves. This relationship generates innovative ideas, leadership makes assertive decisions, and employees are more satisfied with their work.

Productivity increases in the team's learning process and not only when there is a heavy workload that, when poorly executed, often affects the quality of production.

With the information age, organizations adopt effective strategies to increase productivity levels. However, the literature points to effective communication (Damaceno, 2018; Pertiangma, 2023), (Santana, 2022; Oyefusi, 2022), the culture of trust and collaboration (Chege, 2017; Bokaii, 2023), and performance evaluation (Fadare, 2023; Subotnik, 2019) as prominent and relevant factors in achieving organizational objectives, increasing productivity, and intensifying employee appreciation and commitment to meeting organizational goals.



Effective Communication

The term communication is derived from the Latin word, (Communis), which means "to share" to make common. Consequently, this means that sharing would allow for participation and cooperation, hence it is a social activity. However, if there is no common understanding results from the transmission of symbols (verbal or non-verbal), there is no communication (Musheke & Phiri, 2021 p. 660).

As such, "communication is essential for building relationships and creating a positive work environment where individuals can work together to achieve common goals." Without effective communication, effective teamwork is impossible (Pertiangma, 2023 p. 6).

Pertiangma (2023) also states that effective communication makes the team unilateral because everyone will be on the same task alignment, thus achieving greater understanding among team members and improving productivity levels in the organization.

Thus, Chege (2017) shows that effective communication is the key to organizational achievement and one of the strategies for achieving organizational goals. On the other hand, upward or downward communication is a different style of communication.

There are two forms of communication that go from upper to lower management: downward communication and upward communication. Since oral and written communication must occur for a team to be productive (Bokaii, 2023 p.47). However, in organizations, communication can take different forms that vary according to the context. Teamwork requires good communication among team members. It is essential that the outcome of the assigned task is spelled out in concrete duties that individuals are expected to perform and update each other through effective communication channels agreed upon by all team members. Communication gaps or failures, if any, should be corrected without delay (Sukiam, 2020).

However, Kunsch (2016, p.21) believes that formal channels "derive from the normative structure of the organization and through various vehicles established by the organization such as: printed, visual, auditory, among others".

Organizational communication also flows through the informal channel, since it is a system based on social relationships between people, which provides information to satisfy doubts and curiosities that are not clarified in the formal channel. In this sense, the informal channel supplements the formal channel. The formal and informal channels are supported by the formal and informal structures of the organization itself (Assunção, 2015).

Lack of effective communication is one of the main reasons that can lead to confusion and poor planning in many organizations. This is because communication is the source of information used by managers in making decisions that affect the performance of the organization. A manager's communication skills are vital not only in making decisions but



also in conveying the results and intent of the decisions to others (Musheke & Phiri, 2021 p. 660). Based on the above, the first hypothesis of the work arises:

H1: Effective communication outreach increases effective productivity.

Leadership

This is a topic that has been much talked about, discussed and debated in recent times. Among the concepts presented, one of them argues that leadership is the process of using influence without coercion to achieve the organization's objective (Martono et al 2020, p. 429).

Other definitions such as that of (Rost, 1991 cited by Krauter 2022, p.148), leadership can be defined as "a process through which an individual influences a group of individuals to achieve a common goal".

A high-performance team is a team with efficient and effective leadership due to its results completed within the given time.

Leadership has a profound influence on team performance in terms of satisfaction, creation of new ideas, innovation, team cohesion, communication, conflict resolution, ethics, trust, etc. Therefore, it is essential that the organization discovers the leadership style that is most appropriate for its reality and works towards this, aiming to improve team and leadership performance, with a focus on fully achieving organizational results (Coelho & Sousa, 2021). Oyefusi (2022) shares the same idea and says that this influence that leadership exerts on team behavior will ensure that all members are incorporated and the capabilities of this same leadership used in decision-making can also generate motivation for the team.

According to Martono et al. (2020, p. 429) in organizational practice we find the following leadership styles:

- Situational leadership is the leadership model that involves the character of the leader and its primary objective is to analyze the situation to determine the ability to lead.
- Transformational leadership is when the leader needs to motivate his or her employees to increase productivity. This leadership style includes three main components: charisma, intellectual encouragement, and individual-oriented dedication.

Martono et al (2020) continues their approach on the different types of leadership showing that the interaction between leaders and employees also gives rise to the transactional, transformational and charismatic leadership style. Where transactional leadership addresses the interrelationship between leader and employee based on exchange and reward.



Oyefusi (2022) states that organizational leadership must invest in employee development and training to improve performance. This should be done through internal online training. Based on the above, the second hypothesis of the work arises:

H2: The higher the level of leadership effectiveness, the greater the impact on organizational productivity.

Culture of Trust and Collaboration

The concept of team trust arises when they believe in each other's competence and occupational skills (Sanyal & Hisam, 2018, p.17).

When it comes to working at your best, having a cohesive team that you can trust is crucial. People can express themselves freely and not fear retaliation in an atmosphere where they feel safe and trust each other. As a result, the more employees believe in the organization, the more successful they will be at their jobs. (Chege, 2017).

Successful teamwork depends on an organizational culture that values trust and collaboration. A culture of trust fosters teamwork, creativity, and commitment to team goals.

Pertiangma (2023) states that trust is essential to increase team efficiency. However, it is related to satisfaction and commitment, and has a positive effect on the company's productivity, increasing the competitive advantage in the quantity and quality of products, goods and services offered. However, employee commitment and retention are factors that have a significant impact on the effective productivity of an organization, as the more satisfied and committed the team is, the more likely employees are to remain.

In this line of thought, Sanyal and Hisam (2018) state that each person's behavioral beliefs and abilities to develop their skills and talents are strengthened through this confidence provided. On the other hand, each member must have confidence in the team so that they can work together. Based on the above, the third hypothesis of the work arises:

H3: The deeper the culture of trust and collaboration is rooted in horizontal and vertical relationships, the higher the level of effective organizational productivity.

Performance evaluation

For Idowu et al. (2020, p. 50) "a performance appraisal is a general and periodic organized procedure that evaluates the professional performance of an individual employee in relation to certain pre-established organizational standards and objectives".

Performance appraisals are often seen as a method to provide responses and rewards for performance achieved (Idowu et al., 2020, p. 50). Sanyal and Hisam (2018) also agree with the same idea, only adding that performance appraisal is also a way of measuring goals achieved and that they are directly linked to motivations.

Performance measures are the criteria used to measure the results of teamwork. They can also be understood as the evaluation process by their users. There are several ways to



measure team performance, which can be observed by completing tasks and achieving objectives, as well as customer satisfaction, profit obtained, quality of services and products offered, and agreed deadlines (Coelho & Sousa, 2021).

For Coelho and Sousa (2021), team performance also comes from the use of effective evaluation methods. These evaluation methods can be traditional and modern.

Among the traditional ones, the following stand out: rating scale; employee comparisons; checklist; free-form essays; interviews; and critical incidents (Coelho & Sousa, 2021, p. 7).

In modern assessment methods, the authors Coelho and Sousa (2021, p. 7) describe "assessment centers; management by objectives; and human values accounting".

In each topic, points related to production are assessed; quality; knowledge of work; cooperation; individual characteristics; understanding of situations; creativity/ingenuity; ability to perform; causes, origin and reasons for certain performances; records of extreme characteristics that can lead to positive or negative results; idealized and achieved results, self-assessment (Coelho & Sousa, 2021 p. 7). Therefore, the authors emphasize that for each method, the organization must use the one that is effective and most appropriate for the organizational context found in order to increase team productivity. Based on the above, the fourth hypothesis of the work arises:

H4: Effective performance appraisal results in increased team productivity.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

To achieve its objectives, the study adopted a mixed approach, that is, qualitative and quantitative, aiming at data triangulation.

Therefore, a mixed approach was used because it allows the combination of different instruments, data collection and analysis techniques to guarantee and strengthen the validity and reliability of the results, making them more meaningful and also to report in a way that translates the opinions and information collected into numbers to classify and analyze them.

The objective is to understand the general perception that the school's management managers have of teamwork in the school. The aim is to verify, through the responses of the top managers, whether the four critical success factors that constitute the theoretical framework of this study exist and are practiced in the institution's teamwork to provide an increase in effective productivity.

Two instruments are fundamentally used to collect data. These are a semi-structured interview using an interview guide for qualitative data and a questionnaire survey for quantitative data.



To analyze the data from the qualitative research, a combination of narrative analysis and discourse analysis was used. In the quantitative research, a univariate descriptive analysis was performed with calculation of absolute frequencies, aiming to determine the mode.

Once the steps, procedures and construction of the data collection instruments have been established, the instruments must be subjected to the validation processes and procedures. These are divided into two stages, the first being called a pre-test or pilot study (Coutinho, 2011), and the second being the internal consistency and reliability test (De Sousa, et al., 2017). The Cronbach's alpha test (70.5%) is used.

The study population consists of 84 employees of the Instituto Técnico De Saúde 3119 Kalawenda, all of whom are permanent employees, including one senior management person, constituting the sample in the qualitative research and 56 employees for the quantitative research, the size of which is determined through the online tool Survey Monkey (2024), assuming a confidence level of 95% and a margin of error of 5%. The results are presented in the tables to facilitate reading.

RESULTS

The semi-structured interview was conducted with the top manager of the Institute, providing all possible information, where she states that formal and informal communication facilitate the development of work and improve productivity, leadership provides the employee with good performance when it takes into account the need for continuous training in relation to tasks, the culture of trust and collaboration creates well-being and satisfaction in the entire work team, improving the organizational climate and performance evaluation contributes to the professional growth of employees, opening up opportunities to acquire new knowledge, develop skills and overcome challenges.

Through the survey, the sociodemographic data indicate that the research results were influenced by the male gender with a greater participation of 36 employees out of a total sample of 56. The predominant age group was between 36 and 45 years old, which recorded the highest frequency of 20 responses in its favor. 42 of the 56 respondents are graduates with a working time of between 4 and 5 years at the Institution.



Interviews

About Effective Communication.

Table I-Interview on effective communication.

Questions	Answer Highlight	Interpretation	Conclusion
a) Do you consider	"Yes, it is essential, because	Without formal	Formal means of
formal communication	it facilitates the	communication, there	communication is
methods essential to	development of work and	is no productivity	essential.
make organizational	activities, improving	because it is	
communication	productivity"	responsible for	
effective?		ensuring that	
		information is	
		transmitted in an	
		objective manner,	
		demonstrating the	
		organization's	
		responsibilities.	
b) Do you consider	"Yes, I think it contributes.	Being used frequently	Informal
informal	Because ideas and	in the institution,	communication is
communication	innovations can emerge	informal	essential.
essential to make	from these groups, through	communication also	
organizational	the exchange of experiences	directly influences	
communication	and it can improve	work productivity and	
effective?	interpersonal relationships,	improves effective	
	as well as the work	organizational	
	developed."	communication.	



Argentino, G. (2024). An Exploration of Critical Success Factors for Effective Teamwork Productivity: The Case of the Instituto Técnico de Saúde 3119 Kalawenda. Gregório Semedo: Science and Development, 1(1), e24. https://revistacdugs.ao/article/view/24

c) Do you consider	"Yes, the media used have	The means of	The means of
the means of	helped to respond to the	communication used	communication
communication used	demands"	make the	used within the
within the		organization's	organization are
organization to be		communication active	adequate.
adequate to make the		and efficient,	
organization's		promoting a greater	
communication		flow of knowledge	
effective?		that helps to improve	
		the organization's	
		performance.	

About Leadership

Table II-Leadership Interview.

Questions	Answer Highlight	Interpretation	Conclusion
a) Is the	"Yes, although it is done in a	This style of leadership in	Leadership is practiced
transactional	unidirectional way, there is also	the institution makes	regularly.
leadership style	an openness to exchange ideas"	people proactive,	
observed in the		knowledge generators	
Institution?		and develops capabilities.	
		The leader has the	
		mission of guiding,	
		attributing meaning to	
		each person's potential,	
		ensuring freedom and	
		autonomy in carrying out	
		tasks.	
b) Do you think that	"Yes, it influences through	Transactional leadership	Leadership influences
the transactional	continuous training and	ensures that employees	employee
leadership style	employee participation in	perform well when it	performance.
influences employee	decisions"	takes into account the	
performance?		need for continuous	
		training in relation to the	



		tasks to be performed,	
		taking into account the	
		opinions and autonomous	
		development of	
		employees' skills.	
c) Do you think this	"Influence in every sense, we	This leadership style	Leadership influences
leadership style	work as a team and if a decision	encourages employees to	teamwork within the
influences teamwork	is made by the formal leader,	give their best to the	institution.
within the	the group suffers with this	institution when they are	
institution?	decision"	transparent and open to	
		sharing ideas. In this	
		sense, it is clear that	
		leadership values people	
		by enhancing their	
		capabilities.	

About the Culture of trust and collaboration

Table III-Interview on the Culture of Trust and Collaboration.

Questions	Answer Highlight	Interpretation	Conclusion
a) How does the	"Respecting each employee's	The organization values a	Respect for every
organization's	opinion and valuing them"	culture of trust and	opinion.
management value		collaboration, respecting the	
the culture of trust		opinions of its employees. In	
and collaboration for		this way, different points of	
teamwork within the		view make employees feel	
organization?		valued and respected,	
		making them feel part of the	
		institution.	
b) Within the	"Yes, there is, after all, the	The institution preserves the	There is a culture
organization, is there	contribution of each	culture of trust and	of trust and
a culture of trust and	employee helps in the	collaboration among	collaboration.
collaboration among	development of the	employees, creating well-	
employees to promote	organization"	being and satisfaction	
teamwork?		throughout the work team,	
		improving the organizational	



		climate to increase	
		productivity.	
c) How does the level	"It increases when we honor	In this case, valuing each	Honor
of trust and	all commitments, promoting	employee facilitates the	commitments.
collaboration increase	good conditions and	achievement of more	
effective productivity	satisfaction in the work	productive, innovative and	
in the institution?	performed"	excellent results.	

About Performance Evaluation

Table IV-Interview About performance evaluation.

Questions	Answer Highlight	Interpretation	Conclusion
a) Does the	"Yes, because by evaluating	Performance evaluation	Affirmative.
performance	employees you can improve	contributes to the	
evaluation provide	the team by increasing	professional growth of	
the level of	productivity at all levels"	employees by opening up	
productivity of		opportunities to acquire new	
teamwork in the		knowledge, develop skills and	
institution?		overcome challenges.	
b) Does the	"Yes, we take into account	Performance evaluation	Several criteria are
organization use	attendance, active	methods are used to measure	used.
appropriate	participation, team spirit,	the results of teamwork,	
performance appraisal	punctuality and	promoting learning and	
methods to promote	responsibility"	growth among members.	
teamwork?			
c) How does	"Through evaluation and	Performance evaluation is	Correcting the
performance	performance we correct all	used to determine the level of	errors.
evaluation aim to	errors and improve the	productivity in teamwork by	
reward teamwork	production capacity in the	analyzing the results and the	
productivity in the	institution"	collaborative capacity to	
organization?		create rewards.	
·	, ,	collaborative capacity to	

Data Triangulation

The purpose here was to compare the results of the questionnaire surveys administered to employees with the results derived from the interview conducted with the Institution's



top management. The analysis process sought only to determine whether there is convergence or divergence in the responses in order to provide a coherent discussion.

Table V-Data Triangulation

Critical	Interview	Survey Result	Convergence/Divergence	Conclusion
Success	Result		Level	
Factors (CSF)				
Effective	Formal and	Communication	There is a discrepancy	The factor
Communication	informal	is not effective	between the responses	does not
	communication	because	from the interview and the	exist and is
	channels	although	survey.	not
	facilitate the	essential means	,	practiced.
	development of	of		
	work, however	communication		
	existing means	are used, the		
	of	informal channel		
	communication	in the		
	respond to	organization is		
	demand.	not always used.		
Leadership	Leadership	Leadership is	There is a discrepancy	The Factor
	influences	not always used	between the responses	does not
	teamwork	to influence	from the interview and the	exist and is
	through the	teamwork within	survey.	not
	involvement of	the		practiced
	employees in	organization.		
	decision-			
	making.			
Culture of trust	The	The organization	There is convergence	The Factor
and	organization	develops a	between the responses	exists and is
collaboration	values a	culture of trust	from the interview and the	practiced
	culture of trust	and	survey	
	and	collaboration		
	collaboration,	among		
	promoting	employees by		
	conditions and			



	satisfaction in teamwork.	promoting teamwork.		
Performance	Performance		There is convergence	The Factor
evaluation	appraisal	uses appropriate	between the responses	exists and is
	improves the	performance	from the interview and the	practiced
	team and	evaluation	survey.	
	increases	methods to		
	productivity by	promote		
	creating	teamwork		
	rewards.	productivity and		
		promote		
		rewards.		

Source: Prepared by the author based on the results of interviews and questionnaire surveys.

DISCUSSION

Effective communication: the divergence of responses between the interview with top management and the employee surveys does not allow us to demonstrate and affirm that the scope of effective communication increases effective productivity.

Leadership: the divergence in responses between the interview with top management and the employee surveys shows that it is not possible to demonstrate and state that the greater the level of leadership effectiveness, the greater the impact on organizational productivity.

Culture of trust and collaboration: the convergence of responses between the interview with the top manager and the surveys applied to employees proves that the deeper the culture of trust and collaboration is rooted in horizontal and vertical relationships, the higher the level of effective organizational productivity will be.

Performance evaluation: the convergence of responses between the top manager's interview and employee surveys ensures that effective performance evaluation results in increased team productivity.

CONCLUSION

In response to the initial question, the research results show that critical success factors have a positive effect on the increase in effective productivity of teamwork when they are effectively practiced.



For the institution under study, it is necessary to promote the two missing FCS. That is, to carry out actions that promote the use of informal channels to clarify doubts and involve employees in decision-making, and to ensure greater fluidity in the exercise of functions. To adopt a leadership style that inspires employees and encourages them to do their best for institutional development.

For future research, more research should be conducted in this area to effectively analyze the influence of critical success factors on the effective productivity of teamwork. Such studies should be explained based on a methodology that includes inferential statistics.

BIBLIOGRAPHICAL REFERENCES

- Assunção, S. (2015). The role of Internal Communication in Workplace Engagement and Resistance to Change: Analysis at Santa Casa da Misericórdia de Lisboa. Master's Dissertation, University of Lisbon., p. 6.
- Ayeni, A.J.; Fakunle, A. F. (2020). Team management and Teacher's productivity in secondary schools in Ondo Central Senatorial District of Ondo State, Nigeria. Journal of education and practice. 22(1), 4969.https://journals.ui.edu.ng/index.php/ajem/article/view/690
- Bokaii, L. (2023). Impact of teamwork on the performance of the employees in the nongovernmental sector. The Euraseans: journal on global socio-economic dynamics.
- Krauter, J. (2022). A team based leadership framework the interplay of leadership self efficacy, power, collaboration and teamwork processes influencing team performance. Open journal of leadership,

 11(2),https://www.scirp.org/journal/paperinformation?paperid=117849
- Kunsch, MK (2016). Public Relations Planning in Integrated Communication (6th ed.). São Paulo: Summus.
- Liboreiro, KR; Borges, RSG (2018). High-performance team management: Recent approaches and discussions. Management & regionality, 34(102)
- Martono, S.; Khoiruddin, M.; Wijayanto, A.; Ridloah, S. (2020). Increasing teamwork, organizational commitment and effectiveness through the implementation of collaborative resolution. Article in journal of Asian finance, 7(6), http://dx.doi.org/10.13106/jafeb.2020.vol7.no6.427
- Musheke, M.M.; Phiri, J. (2021). The effects of effective communication on organizational performance based on systems theory. Open journal of business and management,
 - 9(2), https://www.scirp.org/journal/paperinformation?paperid=107818



- Pertiangma, K. (2023). Impact of teamwork on organizational productivity. Thesis, Centria University of applied sciences Bachelor of business administration, business management.
- Salas, E., Shuffler, ML, Thayer, AL, Bedwell, WL and Lazzara, EH (2015). Understanding and improving teamwork in organizations: a practical, evidence-based guide. Human Resource Management, 54(4).

Declaration of conflicts of interest:

The author of the article declares that there is no conflict of interest that affects the publication of the article.

Authorship Contribution:

The authorHe also contributed to the design, outline and bibliographic research, which enabled the development and review of the content for final approval of the version to be published.



This work is under a <u>Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License</u>.